
Subject: Re: [patch] unprivileged mounts update

Posted by [Miklos Szeredi](#) on Thu, 26 Apr 2007 16:29:40 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

> So then as far as you're concerned, the patches which were in -mm will
> remain unchanged?

Basically yes. I've merged the update patch, which was not yet added to -mm, did some cosmetic code changes, and updated the patch headers.

There's one open point, that I think we haven't really explored, and that is the propagation semantics. I think you had the idea, that a propagated mount should inherit ownership from the parent into which it was propagated.

That sounds good if everyone agrees?

Miklos

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
