
Subject: Re: Getting the new RxRPC patches upstream
Posted by [David Howells](#) on Wed, 25 Apr 2007 13:48:39 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Miller <davem@davemloft.net> wrote:

> Is it possible for your changes to be purely networking
> and not need those changes outside of the networking?

See my latest patchset release. I've reduced the dependencies on non-networking changes to:

(1) Oleg Nesterov's patch to change `cancel_delayed_work()` to use `del_timer()` rather than `del_timer_sync()` [patch 02/16].

This patch can be discarded without compilation failure at the expense of making AFS slightly less efficient. It also makes `AF_RXRPC` slightly less efficient, but only in the `rmmod` path.

(2) A symbol export in the keyring stuff plus a proliferation of the types available in the `struct key::type_data` union [patch 03/16]. This does not conflict with any other patches that I know about.

(3) A symbol export in the timer stuff [patch 04/16].

Everything else that remains after the reduction is confined to the `AF_RXRPC` or AFS code, save for a couple of networking patches in my patchset that you already have and I just need to make the thing compile.

I'm not sure that I can make the `AF_RXRPC` patches totally independent of the AFS patches as the two sets need to interleave since the last `AF_RXRPC` patch deletes the old RxRPC code - which the old AFS code depends on.

David

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
