Subject: Re: Getting the new RxRPC patches upstream Posted by David Howells on Wed, 25 Apr 2007 13:48:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

David Miller <davem@davemloft.net> wrote:

- > Is it possible for your changes to be purely networking
- > and not need those changes outside of the networking?

See my latest patchset release. I've reduced the dependencies on non-networking changes to:

(1) Oleg Nesterov's patch to change cancel_delayed_work() to use del_timer() rather than del_timer_sync() [patch 02/16].

This patch can be discarded without compilation failure at the expense of making AFS slightly less efficient. It also makes AF_RXRPC slightly less efficient, but only in the rmmod path.

- (2) A symbol export in the keyring stuff plus a proliferation of the types available in the struct key::type_data union [patch 03/16]. This does not conflict with any other patches that I know about.
- (3) A symbol export in the timer stuff [patch 04/16].

Everything else that remains after the reduction is confined to the AF_RXRPC or AFS code, save for a couple of networking patches in my patchset that you already have and I just need to make the thing compile.

I'm not sure that I can make the AF_RXRPC patches totally independent of the AFS patches as the two sets need to interleave since the last AF_RXRPC patch deletes the old RxRPC code - which the old AFS code depends on.

David

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers