

---

Subject: Re: Getting the new RxRPC patches upstream  
Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Wed, 25 Apr 2007 10:41:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 04/25, David Howells wrote:

>  
> Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru> wrote:  
>  
> > Yes sure. Note that this is documented:  
> >  
> > /\*  
> > \* Kill off a pending schedule\_delayed\_work(). Note that the work callback  
> > \* function may still be running on return from cancel\_delayed\_work(). Run  
> > \* flush\_workqueue() or cancel\_work\_sync() to wait on it.  
> > \*/  
>  
> No, it isn't documented. It says that the \*work\* callback may be running, but  
> does not mention the timer callback. However, just looking at the  
> cancellation function source made it clear that this would wait for the timer  
> handler to return first.

Ah yes, it says nothing about what the returned value means...

> However, is it worth just making cancel\_delayed\_work() a void function and not  
> returning anything? I'm not sure the return value is very useful.

cancel\_rearming\_delayed\_work() needs this, tty\_io.c, probably somebody else.

Oleg.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---