Subject: Re: Getting the new RxRPC patches upstream Posted by Oleg Nesterov on Wed, 25 Apr 2007 10:41:45 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 04/25, David Howells wrote:

- > Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru> wrote:
- > Yes sure. Note that this is documented:
- >> ,
- >> /*
- >> * Kill off a pending schedule_delayed_work(). Note that the work callback
- >> * function may still be running on return from cancel_delayed_work(). Run
- >> * flush_workqueue() or cancel_work_sync() to wait on it.
- >> */

>

- > No, it isn't documented. It says that the *work* callback may be running, but
- > does not mention the timer callback. However, just looking at the
- > cancellation function source made it clear that this would wait for the timer
- > handler to return first.

Ah yes, it says nothing about what the returned value means...

- > However, is it worth just making cancel_delayed_work() a void function and not
- > returning anything? I'm not sure the return value is very useful.

cancel_rearming_delayed_work() needs this, tty_io.c, probably somebody else.

Oleg.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers