

---

Subject: Re: [patch] unprivileged mounts update  
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Wed, 25 Apr 2007 18:41:41 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

"Serge E. Hallyn" <[serge@hallyn.com](mailto:serge@hallyn.com)> writes:

> Quoting Eric W. Biederman ([ebiederm@xmission.com](mailto:ebiederm@xmission.com)):  
>>  
>> Are there other permission checks that mount is doing that we  
>> care about.  
>  
> Not mount itself, but in looking up /share/fa/root/home/fa,  
> user fa doesn't have the rights to read /share, and by setting  
> fsuid to fa and dropping CAP\_DAC\_READ\_SEARCH the mount action fails.

Got it.

I'm not certain this is actually a problem it may be a feature.  
But it does fly in the face of the general principle of just  
getting out of roots way so things can get done.

I think we can solve your basic problem by simply doing like:  
chdir(/share); mount(.); To simply avoid the permission problem.

The practical question is how much do we care.

> But the solution you outlined in your previous post would work around  
> this perfectly.

If we are not using usual permissions which user do we use current->uid?  
Or do we pass that user someplace?

>> > If it were really the equivalent then I could keep my capabilities :)  
>> > after changing it.  
>>  
>> We drop all capabilities after we change the euid.  
>  
> Not if we've done prctl(PR\_SET\_KEEPCAPS, 1)

Ah cap\_clear doesn't do the obvious thing.

Eric

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---