
Subject: Re: Getting the new RxRPC patches upstream
Posted by [David Howells](#) on Wed, 25 Apr 2007 08:10:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru> wrote:

```
> Yes sure. Note that this is documented:  
>  
> /*  
>  * Kill off a pending schedule_delayed_work(). Note that the work callback  
>  * function may still be running on return from cancel_delayed_work(). Run  
>  * flush_workqueue() or cancel_work_sync() to wait on it.  
>  */
```

No, it isn't documented. It says that the **work** callback may be running, but does not mention the timer callback. However, just looking at the cancellation function source made it clear that this would wait for the timer handler to return first.

However, is it worth just making `cancel_delayed_work()` a void function and not returning anything? I'm not sure the return value is very useful.

David

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
