Subject: Re: [PATCH] ipv4/ipvs: Convert to kthread API Posted by Christoph Hellwig on Sun, 22 Apr 2007 19:50:01 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Thu, Apr 19, 2007 at 03:59:44PM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:

- > There still seems to be quite a lot of complexity in this driver's
- > thread handling which could be removed if we did a full conversion
- > to the kthread API.

>

> It all looks.... surprisingly complex in there.

It is. There guite a few interesting oddities in this code:

- creation of a forker thread. This is superflous when using the kthread infrastructure as a thread created by kthread\_create always comes from our dedicated forker thread.
- the infinite retry on failure looks very bogus, the system doesn't recover very well if you try to fork forever in a loop :)
- a lot of very overlapping state variables. My reading of the code suggests that both a 'master' and 'backup' thread can run at the same time. I think the code would benefit a lot from totally separating these codepathes.
- start\_sync\_thread and stop\_sync\_thread are called with unchecked user supplied arguments and bug if they don't match the expected values. While all this is under capable(CAP\_NET\_ADMIN) it still sounds like something to fix.
- and the usual removal of semaphores and completions for startup/shutdown would benefit the code a lot, as for most thread users.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers