

---

Subject: Re: [patch 2/8] allow unprivileged umount  
Posted by [akpm](#) on Sat, 21 Apr 2007 08:36:22 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Sat, 21 Apr 2007 10:09:42 +0200 Miklos Szeredi <[miklos@szeredi.hu](mailto:miklos@szeredi.hu)> wrote:

```
> > +static bool permit_umount(struct vfsmount *mnt, int flags)
> > +{
> >
> > ...
> >
> > + return mnt->mnt_uid == current->uid;
> > +}
> >
> > Yes, this seems very wrong. I'd have thought that comparing user_struct*'s
> > would get us a heck of a lot closer to being able to support aliasing of
> > UIDs between different namespaces.
> >
> >
> > OK, I'll fix this up.
> >
> > Actually an earlier version of this patch did use user_struct's but
> > I'd changed it to uids, because it's simpler.
```

OK..

```
> I didn't think about
> this being contrary to the id namespaces thing.
```

Well I was madly assuming that when separate UID namespaces are in use, UID 42 in container A will have a different user\_struct from UID 42 in container B. I'd suggest that we provoke an opinion from Eric & co before you do work on this.

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---