

---

Subject: Re: Getting the new RxRPC patches upstream  
Posted by [Oleg Nesterov](#) on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 21:28:35 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 04/20, Andrew Morton wrote:

>  
> On Fri, 20 Apr 2007 11:41:46 +0100  
> David Howells <dhowells@redhat.com> wrote:  
>  
> > There are only two non-net patches that AF\_RXRPC depends on:  
> >  
> > (1) The key facility changes. That's all my code anyway, and shouldn't be a  
> > problem to merge unless someone else has put some changes in there that I  
> > don't know about.  
> >  
> > (2) try\_to\_cancel\_delayed\_work(). I suppose I could use  
> > cancel\_delayed\_work() instead, but that's less efficient as it waits for  
> > the timer completion function to finish.  
>  
> There are significant workqueue changes in -mm and I plan to send them  
> in for 2.6.22. I doubt if there's anything in there which directly  
> affects cancel\_delayed\_work(), but making changes of this nature against  
> 2.6.21 might lead to grief.

I think it is better to use cancel\_delayed\_work(), but change it to use  
del\_timer(). I believe cancel\_delayed\_work() doesn't need del\_timer\_sync().

We only care when del\_timer() returns true. In that case, if the timer  
function still runs (possible for single-threaded wqs), it has already  
passed \_\_queue\_work().

Oleg.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---