Subject: Re: Getting the new RxRPC patches upstream Posted by Oleg Nesterov on Fri, 20 Apr 2007 21:28:35 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On 04/20, Andrew Morton wrote: > On Fri, 20 Apr 2007 11:41:46 +0100 > David Howells <dhowells@redhat.com> wrote: >> There are only two non-net patches that AF RXRPC depends on: > > >> (1) The key facility changes. That's all my code anyway, and shouldn't be a problem to merge unless someone else has put some changes in there that I don't know about. > > >> (2) try_to_cancel_delayed_work(). I suppose I could use cancel delayed work() instead, but that's less efficient as it waits for the timer completion function to finish. > > > There are significant workqueue changes in -mm and I plan to send them > in for 2.6.22. I doubt if there's anything in there which directly > affects cancel delayed work(), but making changes of this nature against > 2.6.21 might lead to grief. I think it is better to use cancel_delayed_work(), but change it to use del timer(). I belive cancel delayed work() doesn't need del timer sync(). We only care when del timer() returns true. In that case, if the timer function still runs (possible for single-threaded wgs), it has already passed __queue_work(). Oleg. Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers