
Subject: Re: [PATCH] smbfs: Remove unnecessary allow_signal

Posted by [akpm](#) on Thu, 19 Apr 2007 22:47:42 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Thu, 19 Apr 2007 01:59:03 -0600

"Eric W. Biederman" <ebiederm@xmission.com> wrote:

```
> From: Eric W. Biederman <ebiederm@xmission.com>
>
> Signed-off-by: Eric W. Biederman <ebiederm@xmission.com>
> ---
> fs/smbfs/smbiod.c | 2 --
> 1 files changed, 0 insertions(+), 2 deletions(-)
>
> diff --git a/fs/smbfs/smbiod.c b/fs/smbfs/smbiod.c
> index 3e61b44..67176af 100644
> --- a/fs/smbfs/smbiod.c
> +++ b/fs/smbfs/smbiod.c
> @@ -298,8 +298,6 @@ out:
>  */
> static int smbiod(void *unused)
> {
> - allow_signal(SIGKILL);
> -
>  VERBOSE("SMB Kernel thread starting (%d) ...\n", current->pid);
>
```

Why is it unnecessary? afaict we can presently terminate smbiod with a SIGKILL, and this change will alter (ie: break) that behaviour?

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
