

---

Subject: Re: Re: [patch 05/10] add "permit user mounts in new namespace" clone flag

Posted by [Trond Myklebust](#) on Wed, 18 Apr 2007 13:55:05 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 2007-04-18 at 11:11 +0200, Miklos Szeredi wrote:

> > > I've tried to make this unprivileged mount thing as simple as  
> > > possible, and no simpler. If we can make it even simpler, all the  
> > > better.  
> >  
> > We are certainly much more complex than the code in plan9 (just  
> > read through it) so I think we have room for improvement.  
> >  
> > Just for reference what I saw in plan 9 was:  
> > - No super user checks in its mount, unmount, or namespace creation paths.  
> > - A flag to deny new mounts but not new bind mounts (for administrative purposes  
> > the comment said).  
> >  
> > Our differences from plan9.  
> > - suid capable binaries. (SUID please go away).  
> > - A history of programs assuming only root could call mount/unmount.  
>  
> I hate suid as well. \_The\_ motivation behind this patchset was to get  
> rid of "fusermount", a suid mount helper for fuse.  
>  
> But I don't think suid is going away, and definitely not overnight.  
> Also I don't think we want to require auditing userspace before  
> enabling user mounts.  
>  
> If I understand correctly, your proposal is to get rid of MNT\_USER and  
> MNT\_ALLOWUSERMNT and allow/deny unprivileged mounts and umounts based  
> on a boolean sysctl flag and on a check if the target namespace is the  
> initial namespace or not. And maybe add some extra checks which  
> prevent ugliness from happening with suid programs. Is this correct?  
>  
> If so, how are we going to make sure this won't break existing  
> userspace without doing a full audit of all suid programs in every  
> distro that wants this feature?  
>  
> Also how are we going to prevent the user from creating millions of  
> mounts, and using up all the kernel memory for vfsmounts?

Don't forget that almost all mount flags are per-superblock. How are you planning on dealing with the case that one user mounts a filesystem read-only, while another is trying to mount the same one read-write?

Trond

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---