Posted by Ram Pai on Tue, 17 Apr 2007 07:38:46 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On Mon, 2007-04-16 at 23:07 +0200, Karel Zak wrote: > On Mon, Apr 16, 2007 at 10:39:46AM -0700, Ram Pai wrote: > > > This patch disambiguates multiple mount-instances of the same > > filesystem (or part of the same filesystem), by introducing a new > > interface /proc/mounts new. The interface has the following format. ^^^^ ... odd name. What will be the name for a next generation? > "/proc/mounts_new_new"? :-) that was the name I came up with 6 months back :-). Yes It should be something more appropriate. Maybe /proc/mounts 1? The next generation one would be /proc/mounts 2? Suggestion? > > 'cat /proc/mounts' shows the following: > > /dev/root /mnt ext2 rw 0 0 > > /dev/root /tmp1 ext2 rw 0 0 >> NOTE: The above mount entries, do not indicate that /tmp1 contains the same > > directory tree as /var/tmp. > > But 'cat /proc/mounts new' shows us the following: > > 0x6200 /mnt /var ext2 rw 0 0 > > 0x6200 /tmp1 /var/tmp ext2 rw 0 0 Can't you purely and simply add the fsid= option to /proc/mounts? > > /dev/root /mnt ext2 rw,fsid=0x6200 0 0 > /dev/root /mnt ext2 rw,fsid=0x6200 0 0 I think you can do it without a negative impact to userspace. ok. >> This patch introduces a new proc interface that exposes all the propagation > > trees within the namespace. > > Good idea. >> It walks through each off the mounts in the namespace, and prints the following information.

Subject: Re: How to guery mount propagation state?

> >

- > > mount-id: a unique mount identifier
- > > dev-id : the unique device used to identify the device containing the filesystem
- > ^^^
- > Why not major:minor?

Thinking about it, I feel we dont need this field at all. Basically we need a field that can be keyed-upon to find the corresponding record in /proc/mounts_1. mount-id can be used as the matching field, provided we add the mount-id field to /proc/mounts_1. agree?

RP

```
>> path-from-root: mount point of the mount from /
> > path-from-root-of-its-sb: path from its own root dentry.
>> propagation-flag: SHARED, SLAVE, UNBINDABLE, PRIVATE
>> peer-mount-id: the mount-id of its peer mount (if this mount is shared)
>> master-mount-id: the mount-id of its master mount (if this mount is slave)
> > Example:
> > Here is a sample output of cat /proc/$$/mounts_propagation
> > 0xa917800 0x1 / / PRIVATE
> > 0xa917200 0x6200 / / PRIVATE
> > 0xa917180 0x3 /proc / PRIVATE
> > 0xa917f80 0xa /dev/pts / PRIVATE
> > 0xa917100 0x6210 /mnt / SHARED peer:0xa917100
> > 0xa917f00 0x6210 /tmp /1 SLAVE master:0xa917100
> > 0xa917900 0x6220 /mnt/2 / SHARED peer:0xa917900
>
  Same thing (although the mounts_propagation makes more sense than
> mount_new from my point of view).
>
  cat /proc/mounts (or /proc/$$/mounts)
>
>
  /dev/root /mnt ext2 rw,mid=0xa917100,did=0x6210,prop=SHARED,peer=0xa917100
>
  my $0.02...
>
    Karel
>
```

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers