Subject: Re: [patch 0/8] unprivileged mount syscall Posted by Miklos Szeredi on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 15:55:39 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

- >>> Arn't there ways to escape chroot jails? Serge had pointed me to a URL
- >>> which showed chroots can be escaped. And if that is true than having all
- >>> user's private mount tree in the same namespace can be a security issue?

> >

- > No. In fact chrooting the user into /share/\$USER will actually
- >> _grant_ a privilege to the user, instead of taking it away. It allows
- > > the user to modify it's root namespace, which it wouldn't be able to
- > > in the initial namespace.

> >

- > > So even if the user could escape from the chroot (which I doubt), s/he
- > > would not be able to do any harm, since unprivileged mounting would be
- > > restricted to /share. Also /share/\$USER should only have read/search
- > > permission for \$USER or no permissions at all, which would mean, that
- >> other users' namespaces would be safe from tampering as well.

>

- > A couple of points.
- > chroot can be escaped, it is just a chdir for the root directory
- > it is not a security feature. The only security is that you have to
- > be root to call chdir. A carefully done namespace setup won't have
- > that issue.

>

- > While it may not violate security as far as what a user is allowed
- > to modify it may violate security as far as what a user is allowed
- > to see.

I think that's just up to the permissions in the global namespace. In this example if you 'chmod 0 /share' there won't be anything for the user to see.

- > There are interesting per login cases as well such as allowing a
- > user to replicate their mount tree from another machine when they
- > log in. When /home is on a network filesystem this can be very
- > practical and can allow propagation of mounts across machines not
- > just across a single login session.

Yeah, sounds interesting, but I think it's better to get the basics working first, and then we can start to think about the extras.

Btw, there's nothing that prevents cloning the namespace _after_ chrooting into the per-user tree. That would still be simpler than doing it the other way round: first creating per-session namespaces and then setting up mount propagation between them.

Miklos

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers