
Subject: Re: [patch 0/8] unprivileged mount syscall
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Mon, 16 Apr 2007 15:40:35 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Miklos Szeredi <miklos@szeredi.hu> writes:

>> Arn't there ways to escape chroot jails? Serge had pointed me to a URL
>> which showed chroots can be escaped. And if that is true than having all
>> user's private mount tree in the same namespace can be a security issue?
>
> No. In fact chrooting the user into /share/\$USER will actually
> `_grant_` a privilege to the user, instead of taking it away. It allows
> the user to modify it's root namespace, which it wouldn't be able to
> in the initial namespace.
>
> So even if the user could escape from the chroot (which I doubt), s/he
> would not be able to do any harm, since unprivileged mounting would be
> restricted to /share. Also /share/\$USER should only have read/search
> permission for \$USER or no permissions at all, which would mean, that
> other users' namespaces would be safe from tampering as well.

A couple of points.

- chroot can be escaped, it is just a chdir for the root directory it is not a security feature. The only security is that you have to be root to call chdir. A carefully done namespace setup won't have that issue.
- While it may not violate security as far as what a user is allowed to modify it may violate security as far as what a user is allowed to see.

There are interesting per login cases as well such as allowing a user to replicate their mount tree from another machine when they log in. When /home is on a network filesystem this can be very practical and can allow propagation of mounts across machines not just across a single login session.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
