

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH] net: Add etun driver  
Posted by [Johannes Berg](#) on Wed, 11 Apr 2007 16:43:13 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Wed, 2007-04-11 at 18:15 +0200, Patrick McHardy wrote:

> No, generic netlink avoids allocating netlink families.

Well, yes, I thought that was pretty much the point. :)

> br\_netlink  
> uses the same netlink family as the other network configuration stuff  
> (NETLINK\_ROUTE), but a different rtgen\_family (which matches the  
> address families).

Ah ok. I got all the family types confused then.

> But you have a valid point, if we want to use  
> this for things like bonding or VLAN that aren't actually address  
> families, we should consider introducing "rtnetlink families" to  
> avoid adding AF\_BONDING, AF\_8021Q etc.

True.

But this still doesn't help wireless which doesn't have either an  
rtnetlink family nor an address family since it uses generic netlink  
exclusively.

johannes

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org  
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---