
Subject: Re: [PATCH] net: Add etun driver

Posted by [Johannes Berg](#) on Tue, 10 Apr 2007 21:16:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2007-04-10 at 02:06 +0200, Patrick McHardy wrote:

> Same way as the current RTM_SETLINK message works, but with creating
> a new link in advance. It works fine in other subsystems, so I don't
> see why it would in this case as well. Some subsystems do it in an
> atomic fashion (network schedulers for example), some first create
> the object, then configure it (network classifiers in the non-compatible
> cases). In the network device case I suppose the later should work
> fine since a device needs to be set UP in a second action before
> it really does anything.

Looking at br_netlink.c it seems that this sort of contradicts why
generic netlink was done, now all the sudden everything that wants to
create new links need its own netlink protocol number, no?

johannes

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
