
Subject: Re: [PATCH] net: Add etun driver

Posted by [Johannes Berg](#) on Tue, 10 Apr 2007 10:27:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Tue, 2007-04-10 at 11:52 +0200, Patrick McHardy wrote:

- > Without having thought much about it yet, roughly like this:
- >
- > - driver receives RTM_NEWLINK message (under rtnl)
- > - driver allocates new device
- > - driver initializes device based on content of RTM_NEWLINK message
- > - driver returns

Sounds good to me, but where's the advantage over something that isn't generic if RTM_NEWLINK contains totally different things depending on the subsystem like wireless where it'd have to contain the hardware identifier?

johannes

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
