Subject: Re: [PATCH] net: Add etun driver Posted by Johannes Berg on Tue, 10 Apr 2007 10:27:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Tue, 2007-04-10 at 11:52 +0200, Patrick McHardy wrote:

- > Without having thought much about it yet, roughly like this:
- >
- > driver receives RTM_NEWLINK message (under rtnl)
- > driver allocates new device
- > driver initializes device based on content of RTM_NEWLINK message
- > driver returns

Sounds good to me, but where's the advantage over something that isn't generic if RTM_NEWLINK contains totally different things depending on the subsystem like wireless where it'd have to contain the hardware identifier?

johannes

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers