
Subject: Re: [PATCH] net: Add etun driver

Posted by [Patrick McHardy](#) on Tue, 10 Apr 2007 09:52:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Johannes Berg wrote:

> On Tue, 2007-04-10 at 09:52 +0200, Patrick McHardy wrote:

>

>

>>Shouldn't be a problem either. Creating the device atomically also

>>prevents that anything else is setting them UP before they're fully

>>configured.

>

>

> How would you do it generically then? I'm absolutely not opposed to the

> idea but for now haven't seen how to do it.

Without having thought much about it yet, roughly like this:

- driver receives RTM_NEWLINK message (under rtnl)
- driver allocates new device
- driver initializes device based on content of RTM_NEWLINK message
- driver returns

Device creation won't be generic of course since only the driver knows how to do that.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
