
Subject: Re: [PATCH] net: Add etun driver
Posted by [Ben Greear](#) on Mon, 09 Apr 2007 18:48:07 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

David Miller wrote:

> From: Ben Greear <greearb@candelatech.com>
> Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2007 11:14:52 -0700
>
>> Patrick McHardy wrote:
>>
>>> It would be nice if someone would finally come up with a generic
>>> interface based on netlink (RTM_NEWLINK) instead of adding yet
>>> another couple of homegrown interfaces.
>> My preference is for ioctls, procfs, or similar that does not
>> require extra libraries. Ethtool is an ioctl based approach,
>> so that could potentially be used, though I'm not sure if
>> that's the right place to put it...
>
> I totally disagree.
>
> Netlink doesn't require libraries, the libraries just make using it
> easier. It's a simple protocol sent over a socket, if you include
> the right headers you can do it all yourself.
>
> We shouldn't fail to use our proper core network configuration
> interface just because it's inconvenient for you.

Well, I find it inconvenient, so my preference is for other methods...that shouldn't be too supprising. I'm a lazy programmer after all! :)

If it does get added, and something like 'ip' gains ability to use it, then I can just `system("ip whatever")`, so maybe that's the out for lazy programmers...

Thanks,
Ben

--
Ben Greear <greearb@candelatech.com>
Candela Technologies Inc <http://www.candelatech.com>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
