Subject: Re: [PATCH] net: Add etun driver
Posted by davem on Mon, 09 Apr 2007 18:37:30 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

From: Ben Greear <greearb@candelatech.com>
Date: Mon, 09 Apr 2007 11:14:52 -0700

> Patrick McHardy wrote:
>
> > It would be nice if someone would finally come up with a generic
> > interface based on netlink (RTM_NEWLINK) instead of adding yet
> > another couple of homegrown interfaces.
>
> My preference is for ioctls, procfs, or similar that does not
> require extra libraries. Ethtool is an ioctl based approach,
> so that could potentially be used, though I'm not sure if
> that's the right place to put it...

I totally disagree.

Netlink doesn't require libraries, the libraries just make using it
easier. It's a simple protocol sent over a socket, if you include
the right headers you can do it all yourself.

We shouldn't fail to use our proper core network configuration
interface just because it's inconvenient for you.

_____

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers