
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem

Posted by [xemul](#) on Thu, 05 Apr 2007 07:55:04 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Paul Menage wrote:

> On 4/5/07, Pavel Emelianov <xemul@sw.ru> wrote:

>> > That sort of implies that we need to split the container fork

>> > mechanism up into two parts, one early to add the refcount to the

>> > parent's container_group, and one late to handle the callbacks if

>> > desired. But that should be pretty straightforward.

>>

>> Splitting sounds good. I'll try to prepare an appropriate patch.

>

> I just did this in my tree. It's a pretty simple patch, but it's a bit

> ugly.

OK. When you send it we'll see what can be improved.

>> >> 2. early need for rss containers (earlier than initcalls

>> >> are called)

>> >

>> > Couldn't you copy the way cpuset_init_early() works?

>>

>> I did this in my previous version of rss container,

>> but I think it can be generalized. This is initialization

>> code that must not be nice-looking, but if it can be it

>> should be.

>>

>

> Agreed, nice looking code is better :-)

>

> Did you have any suggestions, other than moving container_init()

> earlier in the boot process?

Right now I do not. Calling container_init_early() is OK from my POV. What I do not like is that I have to reinitialize container->create() callback. Maybe it's better not to call ->create in container_register_subsys() at all?

> Paul

>

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
