Subject: Re: Screamm.. commit f400e198b2ed26ce55b22a1412ded0896e7516ac Posted by serue on Thu, 29 Mar 2007 13:13:02 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):
> This is just to vent.
> I was clearly not auditing patches well enough earlier and the above
> patch got modified since the version I wrote initially. Adding a
> few addition is init calls where what we care about test is not
> is the real init process of the system (so we should treat it with
> care) but is this the pid == 1 in my pid namespace.
>
> So now we (or maybe me) are going to have to through all of those
> is_init references and undo the changes for the ones that don't
> apply to the global init.
> Guys, please, please be more careful.
> The one that set me off is the one in will_become_orphaned_pgrp.
> Eric
Yup. Looks like ambiguous naming once again hid some real (future)
bugs. This is of course safe so far in mainline, but needs to be split
into
static inline int is global init(struct task struct *tsk)
return (tsk == &init task);
}
and
static inline int
is_container_init(struct task_struct *task, struct pid_namespace *ns)
return (\underline{\hspace{0.2cm}} pid\_nr(task, ns) == 1);
Where the latter is needed in, for instance, kernel/capability.c.
-serge
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers
```