
Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 7/7] containers (V7): Container interface to nsproxy subsystem

Posted by [serue](#) on Mon, 26 Mar 2007 21:57:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Srivatsa Vaddagiri (vatsa@in.ibm.com):

> On Sat, Mar 24, 2007 at 10:35:37AM +0530, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:

> > > +static int ns_create(struct container_subsys *ss, struct container *cont)

> > > +{

> > > + struct nscont *ns;

> > > +

> > > + if (!capable(CAP_SYS_ADMIN))

> > > + return -EPERM;

> >

> > Does this check break existing namespace semantics in a subtle way?

> > It now requires that unshare() of namespaces by any task requires

> > CAP_SYS_ADMIN capabilities.

>

> I should clarify that I am referring to unshare thr' clone here (and not

> thr' sys_unshare)

That is still not true, see kernel/utsname:copy_utsname().

Now you might have run a userspace testcase in a kernel with CONFIG_UTS_NS=n, which at the moment erroneously returns 0 rather than -EINVAL when you clone(CLONE_NEWUTS). But you didn't get a new uts namespace, you were just lied to :)

-serge

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
