

---

Subject: Re: controlling mmap()'d vs read/write() pages  
Posted by [Nick Piggin](#) on Fri, 23 Mar 2007 10:47:45 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> Nick Piggin <[nickpiggin@yahoo.com.au](mailto:nickpiggin@yahoo.com.au)> writes:

>

>

>>Eric W. Biederman wrote:

>>

>>>Dave Hansen <[hansendc@us.ibm.com](mailto:hansendc@us.ibm.com)> writes:

>>>

>>>

>>>

>>>>So, I think we have a difference of opinion. I think it's \_all\_ about  
>>>>memory pressure, and you think it is \_not\_ about accounting for memory  
>>>>pressure. :) Perhaps we mean different things, but we appear to  
>>>>disagree greatly on the surface.

>>>

>>>

>>>I think it is about preventing a badly behaved container from having a  
>>>significant effect on the rest of the system, and in particular other  
>>>containers on the system.

>>

>>That's Dave's point, I believe. Limiting mapped memory may be  
>>mostly OK for well behaved applications, but it doesn't do anything  
>>to stop bad ones from effectively DoSing the system or ruining any  
>>guarantees you might proclaim (not that hard guarantees are always  
>>possible without using virtualisation anyway).

>>

>>This is why I'm surprised at efforts that go to such great lengths  
>>to get accounting "just right" (but only for mmaped memory). You  
>>may as well not even bother, IMO.

>>

>>Give me an RSS limit big enough to run a couple of system calls and  
>>a loop...

>

>

> Would any of them work on a system on which every filesystem was on  
> ramfs, and there was no swap? If not then they are not memory attacks  
> but I/O attacks.

>

> I completely concede that you can DOS the system with I/O if that is  
> not limited as well.

>

> My point is that is not a memory problem but a disk I/O problem which is  
> much easier to and cheaper to solve. Disk I/O is fundamentally a slow  
> path which makes it hard to modify it in a way that negatively affects

> system performance.

>

> I don't think with a memory RSS limit you can DOS the system in a way

> that is purely about memory. You have to pick a different kind of DOS

> attack.

It can be done trivially without performing any IO or swap, yes.

--

SUSE Labs, Novell Inc.

Send instant messages to your online friends <http://au.messenger.yahoo.com>

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org](mailto:Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org)

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---