
Subject: Re: [PATCH] Define CLONE_NEWPID flag
Posted by [Herbert Poetzl](#) on Fri, 23 Mar 2007 01:36:12 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, Mar 21, 2007 at 01:39:38PM -0700, Andrew Morton wrote:

> On Wed, 21 Mar 2007 12:41:03 -0700

> sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:

>

> > This was discussed on containers and we thought it would be useful

> > to reserve this flag.

> > ---

> >

> > From: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com>

> > Subject: [PATCH] Define CLONE_NEWPID flag

> >

> > Define CLONE_NEWPID flag that will be used to clone pid namespaces.

> >

> > Signed-off-by: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com>

> > ---

> > include/linux/sched.h | 1 +

> > 1 file changed, 1 insertion(+)

> >

> > Index: lx26-21-rc3-mm2/include/linux/sched.h

> > =====

> > --- lx26-21-rc3-mm2.orig/include/linux/sched.h 2007-03-20 20:13:19.000000000 -0700

> > +++ lx26-21-rc3-mm2/include/linux/sched.h 2007-03-21 11:10:33.000000000 -0700

> > @@ -26,6 +26,7 @@

> > #define CLONE_STOPPED 0x02000000 /* Start in stopped state */

> > #define CLONE_NEWUTS 0x04000000 /* New utsname group? */

> > #define CLONE_NEWIPC 0x08000000 /* New ipcns */

> > + #define CLONE_NEWPID 0x10000000 /* New pid namespace */

>

> Do we actually have any need to reserve it at this time?

> I'd have thought that we could defer adding this until we

> have some code in-kernel which uses it.

FWIW, I'm fine with the reservation, we won't get around
it for the pid space, so we can as well register it now
YMMV

best,
Herbert

>

> Containers mailing list

> Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

> <https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
