## Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] Replace pid\_t in autofs with struct pid reference Posted by lan Kent on Thu, 22 Mar 2007 02:28:43 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Wed, 2007-03-21 at 15:58 -0500, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
> Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):
> > "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:
> >
>>>> void autofs4 dentry release(struct dentry *);
>>>> extern void autofs4 kill sb(struct super block *);
>>>> diff --git a/fs/autofs4/waitg.c b/fs/autofs4/waitg.c
>>>> index 9857543..4a9ad9b 100644
>>>> --- a/fs/autofs4/waitg.c
>>>> +++ b/fs/autofs4/waitq.c
>>>> @ @ -141,8 +141,8 @ @ static void autofs4_notify_daemon(struct
>>>> packet->ino = wq->ino;
         packet->uid = wq->uid;
>>>>
>>>> packet->qid = wq->qid;
>>>> - packet->pid = wq->pid;
>>>> - packet->tgid = wg->tgid;
>>>> + packet->pid = pid_nr(wq->pid);
>>>> + packet->tgid = pid nr(wq->tgid);
>>>> break;
> > >>
>>> I'm assuming we build the packet in the process context of the
>>> daemon we are sending it to. If not we have a problem here.
>> Yes this is data being sent to a userspace daemon (lan pls correct me if
>>> I'm wrong) so the pid nr is the only thing we can send.
> >
> Agreed. The question is are we in the user space daemon's process when
>> we generate the pid_nr. Or do we stuff this in some kind of socket,
> > and the socket switch locations of the packet.
>> Basically I'm just trying to be certain we are calling pid_nr in the
>> proper context. Otherwise we could get the wrong pid when we have
> > multiple pid namespaces in play.
>
> We need to know what the userspace daemon being written to is doing
> with autofs ptype {missing,expire} {in,}direct() messages.
```

At the moment autofs only uses the packet->pid for logging purposes. This solves an age old problem of not knowing who is causing mount requests.

I'm not aware of any other applications that use version 5 yet but that of course could change. So we can't really know what will be done with these ids at some point in the future.

>

- > If I understand correctly, the pid being sent is of a process which
- > tried to automount some directory. The message is being sent to the
- > autofs daemon, which should be running in the root pid namespace.

Yes, but it could be the autofs daemon itself in the expire case.

Usually it doesn't make sense to run an automounting application as other than "root" but I'm not familiar with other possible userspace applications. Perhaps User Mode Linux could be an issue?

>

- > So as it is, the pid\_nr(wq->pid) should be done under the init
- > pid\_namespace, since it's a kthread. So as long as the userspace
- > automount daemon is started in the root pid namespace, the pid it gets
- > will be the right one.

>

- > Ian, does what I'm saying make sense, or am I wrong about how things
- > work for autofs?

Yep. That's the way it is.

>

- > thanks,
- > -serge

>

- > PS
- > Note that if I'm right, but some machine starts autofs in a child
- > pid\_namespace, the pid\_nr() the way I have it is wrong. I'm not sure in
- > that case how we go about fixing that. Somehow we need to store the
- > autofs userspace daemon's pid namespace pointer to help us find the
- > proper pid\_nr.

In order for any user space application to use the module it must mount the autofs file system, passing a file handle for the pipe to use for communication. This must always be done. Can we grab the process pid namespace at that time and store it in the superblock info structure?

How does this affect getting ids for waitq request packets of other user space processes triggering mounts? I'm guessing that they would need to belong to the appropriate namespace for this mechanism to funtion correctly.

lan

## Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers