Subject: Re: [PATCH 2/2] Replace pid_t in autofs with struct pid reference Posted by serue on Thu, 22 Mar 2007 13:31:54 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Quoting Ian Kent (raven@themaw.net):
> On Wed, 2007-03-21 at 21:19 -0500, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:
> > Quoting Ian Kent (raven@themaw.net):
> > On Tue, 2007-03-20 at 16:01 -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>>> "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:
>>>>
>>>> void autofs4_dentry_release(struct dentry *);
>>>>> extern void autofs4 kill sb(struct super block *);
>>>> diff --git a/fs/autofs4/waitq.c b/fs/autofs4/waitq.c
>>>> index 9857543..4a9ad9b 100644
>>>>> --- a/fs/autofs4/waitg.c
>>>>>>+++ b/fs/autofs4/waitq.c
>>>>> @@ -141.8 +141.8 @@ static void autofs4 notify daemon(struct
>>>>> packet->ino = wq->ino;
>>>>> packet->uid = wq->uid;
>>>>> packet->gid = wq->gid;
>>>>> - packet->pid = wq->pid;
>>>>> - packet->tgid = wg->tgid;
>>>>> + packet->pid = pid_nr(wq->pid);
>>>>> + packet->tgid = pid_nr(wq->tgid);
>>>> break:
>>>>>
>>>> I'm assuming we build the packet in the process context of the
>>> > daemon we are sending it to. If not we have a problem here.
>>>>
>>>> Yes this is data being sent to a userspace daemon (lan pls correct me if
>>>> I'm wrong) so the pid nr is the only thing we can send.
>>>>
>>> Agreed. The question is are we in the user space daemon's process when
>>> we generate the pid_nr. Or do we stuff this in some kind of socket,
>>> and the socket switch locations of the packet.
>>> The context here is the automount daemon only for expire runs.
>>>
>>> Mount request packets are triggered by user processes walking over an
>> autofs mount point directory. So "current" in this case isn't the autofs
> > > daemon.
>>> Requests are sent via a pipe to the daemon.
> > So is the pid used for anything other than debugging?
>> In any case, here is a replacement patch which sends the pid number
>> in the pid namespace of the process which did the autofs4 mount.
```

> >

> > Still not sure whether that is actually what makes sense...

> >

- > > From: "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com>
- > > Subject: [PATCH] autofs: prevent pid wraparound in waitqs

> >

- > > Instead of storing pid numbers for waitqs, store references
- > > to struct pids. Also store a reference to the mounter's pid
- > > namespace in the autofs4 sb info so that pid numbers for
- > > mount miss and expiry msgs can send the pid# in the mounter's
- > > pidns.

>

- > I think this amounts to what I suggested in my previous replies.
- > Hopefully my comments are enough to clear up any questions on
- > correctness of this approach.

>

- > Sorry to be a pain but I'm having a little trouble reviewing the patch
- > because I'm not clear on where the code to handle the automount process
- > group (so called oz pgrp), from the first patch, fits in with this.

It also has pidspace infrastructure code in it, so I think we will just hold off on this until we have that infrastructure merged into the pidspace code and into -mm. Then we can send you a single, more concise patch.

> Is this patch in addition to the original?

Yes.

> If so are the references to pid_nr still OK?

I think so, because AIUI the rest are all executed in a context where current is both the actor and recipient.

Thanks for your help.

thanks,

-serge

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers