
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Do not set /proc inode->pid for non-pid-related inodes
Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Wed, 21 Mar 2007 16:35:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

> "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

>

>> So how do you see us enforcing pid1's existence? Somehow keep it from

>> fully exiting, or just kill all the processes in it's namespace if it

>> exits?

what about a kthread that would be spawned when a task is cloned in an
unshared pid namespace ? This is an extra cost in term of tasks.

> Killing all other processes in the namespace when pid1 exits is what

> I implemented last time around.

this looks like a sane thing to do.

C.

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
