Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Do not set /proc inode->pid for non-pid-related inodes Posted by Cedric Le Goater on Wed, 21 Mar 2007 16:35:34 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Fri	c V	V P	lied	erman	wrote

> "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

>

- >> So how do you see us enforcing pid1's existance? Somehow keep it from
- >> fully exiting, or just kill all the processes in it's namespace if it
- >> exits?

what about a kthread that would be spawned when a task is cloned in an unshared pid namespace? This is an extra cost in term of tasks.

- > Killing all other processes in the namespace when pid1 exits is what
- > I implemented last time around.

this looks like a sane thing to do.

C.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers