
Subject: Re: [PATCHSET] 2.6.20-lxc8

Posted by [dim](#) on Wed, 21 Mar 2007 12:19:55 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wednesday 21 March 2007 12:47, Daniel Lezcano wrote:

> Herbert Poetzl wrote:

> > On Tue, Mar 20, 2007 at 09:53:01PM +0100, Cedric Le Goater wrote:

> >> All,

> >>

> >> We've been gathering, porting and testing a whole bunch of patchsets
> >> related to namespaces, containers and resource management in what
> >> we call the -lxc patchset.

> >

> > great!

>

> [cut]

>

> >> * generic Process containers from Paul Menage <menage@google.com>

> >> * namespace entering from Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>

> >> * resource controllers based on process containers from Pavel Emelianov

> >> <xemul@sw.ru> * multiple /proc (required for pid namespace) from Dave

> >> Hansen <hansenc@us.ibm.com> * pid namespace from Sukadev Bhattiprolu

> >> <sukadev@us.ibm.com>

> >> * L2 network namespace from Eric W. Biederman <ebiederm@xmission.com>

> >> * misc fixes and cleanups from others (sorry for not mentioning)

> >>

> >> and it's giving some good results on common platforms like i386 and

> >> x86_64.

> >

> > what _are_ the good results? do you have performance

> > results or other interesting data on it? if so, where

> > can it be found?

>

> Hi Herbert,

>

> I played with the L2 namespace patchset from Eric Biederman, I did some

> benchmarking with netperf:

>

> With 2 hosts, Intel EM64T bipro HT / 2,4 GHz , 4Go ram and GB network.

> Host A is running the netserver on a RH4 kernel 2.6.9-42

> Host B is running the netperf client inside and outside the container

> with the command:

> netperf -H HostA -c -l 20 -n 2 -p 12865

>

> Results are:

> inside the container:

> Throughput : 940.39 Mbit/s CPU usage : 15.80 %

>

> outside the container:
> Throughput : 941.34 Mbits/s CPU usage : 5.80 %
Daniel,

You probably did the same tests for my patchset also, didn't you? Which results did you get?

>
> I did the test again with 50 containers. I created them one by one
> having one running netperf and the other being idle.
> Each time I created a container, I rerun netperf. To be more explicit, I
> created 1 container, run netperf inside it and blocked it on a fifo
> reading, I created a second container, run netperf inside it and blocked
> it, and son on ... to 50 containers. The benchmarking result are the
> same as running one container, so I guess it scales well.
>
> There are a lot of scenarii to do for benchmarking, for example, running
> netperf in each container in the same time and look how it behaves.
> I am profiling the kernel to look where the cpu overhead is.
>
> Regards.
>
> -- Daniel

--
Thanks,
Dmitry.

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
