Subject: Re: [PATCHSET] 2.6.20-lxc8

Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Wed, 21 Mar 2007 13:51:23 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
On Wed, Mar 21, 2007 at 01:40:52PM +0100, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
> Dmitry Mishin wrote:
>>On Wednesday 21 March 2007 12:47, Daniel Lezcano wrote:
> >>Herbert Poetzl wrote:
>>>On Tue, Mar 20, 2007 at 09:53:01PM +0100, Cedric Le Goater wrote:
>>>>All,
> >>>
>>>>We've been gathering, porting and testing a whole bunch of patchsets
>>>>related to namespaces, containers and resource management in what
>>>>we call the -lxc patchset.
> >>>
> >>> great!
> >>>
> >>[ cut ]
> >>
> >>
>>>>* generic Process containers from Paul Menage <menage@google.com>
>>>>* namespace entering from Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com>
>>>>* resource controllers based on process containers from Pavel Emelianov
>>>><xemul@sw.ru> * multiple /proc (required for pid namespace) from Dave
>>>>Hansen <hansendc@us.ibm.com> * pid namespace from Sukadev Bhattiprolu
>>>><sukadev@us.ibm.com>
>>>>* L2 network namespace from Eric W. Biederman <ebiederm@xmission.com>
>>>>* misc fixes and cleanups from others (sorry for not mentioning)
> >>>
>>>>and it's giving some good results on common platforms like i386 and
>>>>x86 64.
> >>>
>>>>what _are_ the good results? do you have performance
>>>results or other interesting data on it? if so, where
>>>can it be found?
> >>>
> >>Hi Herbert.
> >>
>>>I played with the L2 namespace patchset from Eric Biederman, I did some
>>>benchmarking with netperf:
> >>
>>>With 2 hosts, Intel EM64T bipro HT / 2,4 GHz, 4Go ram and GB network.
>>>Host A is running the netserver on a RH4 kernel 2.6.9-42
>>>Host B is running the netperf client inside and outside the container
>>> with the command:
```

- > >> netperf -H HostA -c -l 20 -n 2 -p 12865 > >> > >Results are:
- >>>inside the container:
- >>> Throughput: 940.39 Mbit/s CPU usage: 15.80 %

> >>

- > >>outside the container:
- >>> Throughput: 941.34 Mbits/s CPU usage: 5.80 %

> >>

> >Daniel,

> >

- >>You probably did the same tests for my patchset also, didn't you?
- > >Which results did you get?

> >

- > Effectively, I did some tests with your patchset but in a different way.
- > I did it with a bridge and with tbench so I didn't got the cpu usage and
- > througput is impacted. It will be irrelevant to give these values if we
- > can not compare them with Eric's patchset.
- > Anyway, you are right, it is interesting to have a comparison. For this
- > reason I added the ioctl in veth to facilitate automated benchmarking
- > and I am finishing the performances test suite for your patchset. I
- > will send the results ASAP.

excellent, please don't forget to test with L3 isoltaion (a simple network namespace from Linux-VServer should do the trick) too, let me know if you have any issues with getting started ...

TIA, Herbert

Containors mailing list

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers