
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Do not set /proc inode->pid for non-pid-related inodes
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Tue, 20 Mar 2007 22:04:22 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Dave Hansen <hansenc@us.ibm.com> writes:

> On Tue, 2007-03-20 at 09:51 -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
>> Outlive is the wrong concept. Ideally we want something that will
>> live as long as there are processes in the pid_ns.
>
> How about they just live as long as there is a mount? Now that we can
> have multiple superblocks and meaningful vfsmounts, I think it's time to
> make it act like a normal filesystem.

Agreed.

My concern is that the mount will outlive the pid namespace. In which case we need something that is safe to test when the pid namespace goes away.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
