Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Do not set /proc inode->pid for non-pid-related inodes Posted by ebiederm on Tue, 20 Mar 2007 22:04:22 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Dave Hansen hansendc@us.ibm.com> writes:

- > On Tue, 2007-03-20 at 09:51 -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
- >> Outlive is the wrong concept. Ideally we want something that will
- >> live as long as there are processes in the pid ns.

>

- > How about they just live as long as there is a mount? Now that we _can_
- > have multiple superblocks and meaningful vfsmounts, I think it's time to
- > make it act like a normal filesystem.

Agreed.

My concern is that the mount will outlive the pid namespace. In which case we need something that is safe to test when the pid namespace goes away.

Eric

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers