Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH] Do not set /proc inode->pid for non-pid-related inodes Posted by Dave Hansen on Tue, 20 Mar 2007 02:30:32 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Mon, 2007-03-19 at 20:04 -0600, Eric W. Biederman wrote:

- > Dave Hansen <hansendc@us.ibm.com> writes:
- > Regardless I would like to see a little farther down on
- > how we test to see if the pid namespace is alive and how we
- > make these functions do nothing if it has died.

That shouldn't be too hard. We have access to the superblock pretty much everywhere, and we now store the pid_namespace in there (with some patches I posted earlier).

- > I would also
- > like to see how we perform the appropriate lookups by pid namespace.

What do you mean?

- > Basically I want to see how we finish up multiple namespace support
- > for /proc before we start with the micro optimizations.

Serge was tracking down some weird /proc issues and noticed that we expect a pid_nr==1 for the pid namespace as long as it has a /proc around. That is an assumption doesn't always hold now.

- > I'm fairly certain this patch causes us to do the wrong thing when
- > the pid namespace exits, and I don't see much gain except for the
- > death of find get pid.

In the default, mainline case, it shouldn't be a problem at all. We don't have the init pid namespace exiting.

Shouldn't the lifetime of things under a /proc mount be tied to the life of the mount, and not to the pid_namespace it is tied to? It seems relatively sane to me to have a /proc empty of all processes, but still have /proc/cpuinfo even if all of its processes are gone.

- > > For what I would imagine are historical reasons, we set
- >> all struct proc_inode->pid fields. We use the init
- > > process for all non-/proc/<pid> inodes.

> >

- > > We get a handle to the init process in proc_get_sb()
- >> then fetch it out in proc_pid_readdir():

> >

- >> struct task_struct *reaper =
- >> get_proc_task(filp->f_path.dentry->d_inode);

> >

- > > The filp in that case is always the root inode on which
- > > someone is doing a readdir. This reaper variable gets
- > > passed down into proc_base_instantiate() and eventually
- > > set in the new inode's ->pid field.

> >

- >> The problem is that I don't see anywhere that we
- > > actually go and use this, outside of the /proc/<pid>
- > > directories. Just referencing the init process like
- > > this is a pain for containers because our init process
- > > (pid == 1) can actually go away.

>

- > Which as far as can recall is part of the point. If you have a pid
- > namespace with normal semantics the child reaper pid == 1 is the last
- > pid in the pid namespace to exit. Therefore when it exists the pid
- > namespace exists and with it doesn't the pid namespace does not exist.

pid_delete_dentry() looks like the remaining place that really cares. It would be pretty easy to have it check the pid namespace.

-- Dave

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org

https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers