Subject: Re: + remove-the-likelypid-check-in-copy_process.patch added to -mm tree

Posted by Sukadev Bhattiprolu on Sun, 18 Mar 2007 06:50:36 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

```
Eric W. Biederman [ebiederm@xmission.com] wrote:
 Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru> writes:
 > Yes! I meant we should change INIT_SIGNALS(), currently it does
 >
 > #define INIT_SIGNALS(sig) {
 >
                = 1,
 > .pgrp
 > { .__session
                    = 1},
 > and this confuses (I think) set_special_pids(1,1) above. Because
 > __set_special_pids() still deals with pid_t, not "struct pid".
 > Unless I missed something, we should kill these 2 initializations
 > above.
 Got it. I agree we should initialize those fields to 0.
Sukadev you want to get that?
```

Sure. Will do that.

Thanks Oleg for your detailed review/comments.

Suka

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers