
Subject: Re: + remove-the-likelypid-check-in-copy_process.patch added to -mm tree

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Sat, 17 Mar 2007 18:54:11 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Oleg Nesterov <oleg@tv-sign.ru> writes:

```
> Yes! I meant we should change INIT_SIGNALS(), currently it does
>
> #define INIT_SIGNALS(sig) {
> ...
> .pgrp      = 1,
> { .__session = 1},
>
> and this confuses (I think) set_special_pids(1,1) above. Because
> __set_special_pids() still deals with pid_t, not "struct pid".
>
> Unless I missed something, we should kill these 2 initializations
> above.
```

Got it. I agree we should initialize those fields to 0.

Sukadev you want to get that?

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.linux-foundation.org
<https://lists.linux-foundation.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
