Subject: Re: Summary of resource management discussion Posted by Herbert Poetzl on Tue, 13 Mar 2007 23:50:51 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message On Tue, Mar 13, 2007 at 11:28:20PM +0530, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote: > On Tue, Mar 13, 2007 at 05:24:59PM +0100, Herbert Poetzl wrote: >> what about identifying different resource categories and > > handling them according to the typical usage pattern? > > > > like the following: > > >> - cpu and scheduler related accounting/limits >> - memory related accounting/limits >> - network related accounting/limits >> - generic/file system related accounting/limits > > > > I don't worry too much about having the generic/file stuff > > attached to the nsproxy, but the cpu/sched stuff might be > > better off being directly reachable from the task > I think we should experiment with both combinations (a direct pointer > to cpu limit structure from task struct and an indirect pointer), get > some numbers and then decide. Or do you have results already with > respect to that? nope, no numbers for that, but I appreciate some testing and probably can do some testing in this regard too (although I want to get some testing done for the resource sharing between quests first) >> 3. How are cpusets related to vserver/containers?

> > 3. How are cpusets related to vserver/containers?
> > >
> > Should it be possible to, lets say, create exclusive cpusets and
> > attach containers to different cpusets?
> >
> > that is what Linux-VServer does atm, i.e. you can put
> > an entire guest into a specific cpu set
> Interesting. What abt /dev/cpuset view?

host only for now

best, Herbert

- > Is that same for all containers or do you restrict that view
- > to the containers cpuset only?

>

- > Regards,
- > vatsa

- > Containers mailing list
- > Containers@lists.osdl.org
- > https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Containers mailing list Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers