
Subject: Re: [RFC][PATCH 4/6] Initialize struct pid_nr for swapper
Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Sun, 11 Mar 2007 11:27:59 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Herbert Poetzl <herbert@13thfloor.at> writes:

> On Fri, Mar 09, 2007 at 07:59:24PM -0800, sukadev@us.ibm.com wrote:
>>
>> From: Sukadev Bhattiprolu <sukadev@us.ibm.com>
>> Subject: [RFC][PATCH 4/6] Initialize struct pid_nr for swapper.
>>
>> Statically initialize a struct pid_nr for the swapper process.
>
> does that actually satisfy procfs needs too, or
> just the abstract internal references?
>
> because if it is enough to make procfs happy, this
> would be a viable solution for the lightweight guest
> case (as fake init process) too, which doesn't require
> a blend through functionality anymore, and would allow
> to make the pid isolation complete without wasting
> any resources ...

Herbert I'm not quite certain what you are asking but
largely I think the answer is yes. Making procfs work
on top of something like this patchset is pretty straight forward.

Eric

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
