Subject: Re: [ckrm-tech] [PATCH 0/2] resource control file system - aka containers on top of nsproxy!
Posted by Srivatsa Vaddagiri on Fri, 09 Mar 2007 16:41:18 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

On Fri, Mar 09, 2007 at 10:04:30PM +0530, Srivatsa Vaddagiri wrote:
> 2. Regarding space savings, if 100 tasks are in a container (I dont know
>   what is a typical number) -and- lets say that all tasks are to share
>   the same resource allocation (which seems to be natural), then having
>   a 'struct container_group *' pointer in each task_struct seems to be not
>   very efficient (simply because we dont need that task-level granularity of
>   managing resource allocation).

Note that this 'struct container_group *' pointer is in addition to the
'struct nsproxy *' pointer already in task_struct. If the set of tasks
over which resorce control is applied is typically the same set of tasks
which share the same 'struct nsproxy *' pointer, then IMHO 'struct
container_group *' in each task_struct is not very optimal.

--
Regards,
vatsa

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers