
Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/2] resource control file system - aka containers on top of nsproxy!

Posted by [ebiederm](#) on Wed, 07 Mar 2007 23:16:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

"Paul Menage" <menage@google.com> writes:

> No, Sam was saying that nsproxy should be the object that all resource
> controllers hook off.

I think implementation wise this tends to make sense.
However it should have nothing to do with semantics.

If we have a lot of independent resource controllers. Placing the
pointer to their data structures directly in nsproxy instead of in
task_struct sounds like a reasonable idea but it should not be user
visible.

Eric

Containers mailing list

Containers@lists.osdl.org

<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
