

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 0/2] resource control file system - aka containers on top of nsproxy!

Posted by [Paul Menage](#) on Wed, 07 Mar 2007 17:46:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On 3/7/07, Serge E. Hallyn <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:

> Quoting Srivatsa Vaddagiri (vatsa@in.ibm.com):

> > On Tue, Mar 06, 2007 at 06:32:07PM -0800, Paul Menage wrote:

> > > I'm not really sure that I see the value of having this be part of

> > > nsproxy rather than the previous independent container (and

> > > container\_group) structure.

> >

> > \*shrug\*

> >

> > I wrote the patch mainly to see whether the stuff container folks (Sam Vilain

> > et al) were complaining abt (that container structure abstraction

> > inside the kernel is redundant/unnecessary) made sense or not.

>

> I still think the complaint was about terminology, not implementation.

No, Sam was saying that nsproxy should be the object that all resource controllers hook off.

Paul

---

Containers mailing list

[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)

<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---