## Subject: Re: [IPC]: Logical refcount loop in ipc ns -> massive leakage Posted by ebiederm on Sun, 04 Feb 2007 08:28:37 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Kirill	Korotaev	<dev@sw.ru></dev@sw.ru>	writes:
1 111 111	INDICIACI		WILLOS

> Guys,

- > Though I have no patch in the hands for mainstream,
- > I feel a responsibility to report one majore problem
- > related to IPC namespace design.

- > The problem is about refcounting scheme which is used.
- > There is a leak in IPC namespace due to refcounting loop:
- > shm segment holds a file, file holds namespace,
- > namespace holds shm segment. Loop.
- > I suppose the problem is not only IPC-related
- > and will happen with some other namespaces as well so should
- > be a good lesson for us.

> The question is how to fix this.

- > In OpenVZ we always used 2 different refcounters exactly for this purposes:
- > process counter and reference counter.
- > When the process counter becomes zero (i.e. the last process from the
- > namespace dies) namespace objects are destroyed and cleanuped.
- > And the reference counter on the namespace as always protects the structure
- > memory only.

>

- > How to fix this in mainstream?
- > Sure the same approach as above can be used. However, I dislike
- > the idea of adding process-counter to each namespace requiring this.
- > Any ideas?

I'm still looking and refining, but here is what I have so far:

The struct file that is used appears impossible for user space to get at directly. Therefore I believe we can instead increment and decrement the namespace count at the same places we increment and decrement shm\_nattach. Ideally we would only increment the namespace count when shm nattach goes from 0 to 1 and we would only decrement the namespace count when shm\_nattach goes from 1 to 0.

Does that make sense?		
Eric		
Containers mailing list	 	

## Containers@lists.osdl.org https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from OpenVZ Forum