Subject: Re: + user-ns-implement-user-ns-unshare-remove-config_user_ns.patch added to -mm tree
Posted by serue on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 20:32:06 GMT
View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):
> "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:
> So in summary my only real complaint with removing CONFIG_USER_NS is
> that it appears to me that the code is incomplete and has not been
> closely scrutinized. As such making it available to end users without

Valid complaint.

> even a warning when that is the case appears irresponsible.
> Especially as much of the code that is sitting in Andrews tree is
> merged into the production kernel, when the window opens.

An experimental marker like Cedric introduced does seem a good idea.

It's just too bad that it complicates the testing quite a bit.
I'm still not sure whether just running ltp on a CONFIG_USER_NS=n
kernel suffices or whether custom testcases are needed.

-serge

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers