

---

Subject: Re: [PATCH 7/8] user ns: handle file sigio  
Posted by [serue](#) on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 15:32:39 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Quoting Andrew Morton ([akpm@osdl.org](mailto:akpm@osdl.org)):

> On Wed, 24 Jan 2007 12:58:45 -0600

> "Serge E. Hallyn" <[serue@us.ibm.com](mailto:serue@us.ibm.com)> wrote:

>  
> > > If we need to I can see doing something special if the process setting  
> > > fown has CAP\_KILL  
> >  
> > Obviously CAP\_KILL is insufficient :) I assume you mean a new  
> > CAP\_XNS\_CAP\_KILL?  
> >  
> > > and bypassing the security checks that way, but  
> > > hard coding rules like that when it doesn't appear we have any  
> > > experience to indicate we need the extra functionality looks  
> > > premature.  
> >  
> > Ok, in this case actually I suspect you're right and we can just ditch  
> > the exception. But in general the security discussion is one we should  
> > still have.  
>  
> People like security.  
>  
> Where do we now stand with this patch, and with "[PATCH 4/8] user ns: hook permission"?

Later today I can send a patch against this set which removes the  
the init\_task exceptions (out of patch 3 and patch 7), but I'd prefer  
to leave the MS\_SHARED\_NS option (patch 6) in.

thanks,  
-serge

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---