
Subject: Re: [PATCH 7/8] user ns: handle file sigio
Posted by [Andrew Morton](#) on Thu, 25 Jan 2007 08:12:53 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

On Wed, 24 Jan 2007 12:58:45 -0600

"Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> wrote:

> > If we need to I can see doing something special if the process setting
> > fown has CAP_KILL
>
> Obviously CAP_KILL is insufficient :) I assume you mean a new
> CAP_XNS_CAP_KILL?
>
> > and bypassing the security checks that way, but
> > hard coding rules like that when it doesn't appear we have any
> > experience to indicate we need the extra functionality looks
> > premature.
>
> Ok, in this case actually I suspect you're right and we can just ditch
> the exception. But in general the security discussion is one we should
> still have.

People like security.

Where do we now stand with this patch, and with "[PATCH 4/8] user ns: hook permission"?

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
