

---

Subject: Re: process\_group()

Posted by [Cedric Le Goater](#) on Wed, 24 Jan 2007 17:31:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Eric W. Biederman wrote:

[ ... ]

> Close. Our ultimate goal is to make it so that when you talk within  
> the kernel you use a struct pid not a pid\_t value. Attacking the  
> cached pid\_t values is merely a way finding those places.  
>  
> So fixing thing like the pid\_t value passed as credentials in unix domain  
> sockets is a lot more important than fixing any use of process\_session  
> that just goes to user space.  
>  
> The reason it is important is because different processes may be in different  
> pid namespaces and raw pid\_t values just won't make sense while struct pid  
> references are pid namespace independent.

BTW, in rc4-mm1, we've nearly closed down the list from (needs an update) :

<http://wiki.openvz.org/Containers/Pidspace>

NFS is still pending.

kthread is doing fine also.

But, there are some pid\_t values left over like in struct ucred you  
just mentioned. Any idea on how to track them down and prioritize them ?  
because we are real close to have all the prerequisites for the pid  
namespace.

thanks,

C.

---

Containers mailing list  
Containers@lists.osdl.org  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---