
Subject: Re: [patch -mm 08/17] nsproxy: add hashtable

Posted by [serue](#) on Wed, 20 Dec 2006 06:12:03 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Quoting Herbert Poetzl (herbert@13thfloor.at):

> On Mon, Dec 11, 2006 at 04:01:15PM -0600, Serge E. Hallyn wrote:

> > Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):

> > > "Serge E. Hallyn" <serue@us.ibm.com> writes:

> > >

> > > > Quoting Eric W. Biederman (ebiederm@xmission.com):

> > > >

> > > > Yeah, that occurred to me, but it doesn't seem like we can possibly make

> > > > sufficient guarantees to the client to make this worthwhile.

> > > >

> > > > I'd love to be wrong about that, but if nothing else we can't prove to

> > > > the client that they're running on an unhacked host. So the host admin

> > > > will always have to be trusted.

> > >

> > > To some extent that is true. Although all security models we have

> > > currently fall down if you hack the kernel, or run your kernel

> > > in a hacked virtual environment. It would be nice if under normal

> > > conditions you could mount an encrypted filesystem only in a container

> > > and not have concerns of those files escaping.

> >

> > Hmm, well perhaps I'm being overly pessimistic - IBM research did have a

> > demo based on TPM of remote attestation, which may be usable for

> > ensuring that you're connecting to a service on your virtual machine on

> > a certain (unhacked) kernel on particular hardware, in which case what

> > you're talking about may be possible - given a stringent initial

> > environment (i.e. not the 'gimme \$20/month for a hosted partition in

> > arizona' environment).

>

> interesting, how would you ensure from inside

> such an environment, that nobody tampered with

> the kernel you are running on?

Sorry, took awhile to find the best reference, but I guess this would be it:

<http://domino.research.ibm.com/library/cyberdig.nsf/1e4115aea78b6e7c85256b360066f0d4/459e9a2b1f668aee85256f330067589f>

Another description is

http://domino.research.ibm.com/comm/research_people.nsf/pages/sailer.ima.html

I guess it was in 2004 that they did a demo of remote attestation at the RSA conference, as described in the third to last paragraph in

<http://cio.co.nz/cio.nsf/0/03943645293DB008CC256E47005D8EA2?OpenDocument>
and in
http://domino.research.ibm.com/comm/pr.nsf/pages/news.20040218_linux.html

-serge

Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>
