

---

Subject: Re: semantics for namespace naming  
Posted by [Dave Hansen](#) on Fri, 15 Dec 2006 17:08:55 GMT  
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

On Thu, 2006-12-14 at 14:56 -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:  
> Because that model fundamentally keeps every process in it's own  
> container and never allows it to leave, nor does it allow things  
> from one container to cross into another container in an uncontrolled  
> fashion this feels to me like a very safe model.

This is like saying that brain surgery is safe and controlled because  
the surgeon never actually goes into the patient's brain! :)

I think of ptrace as a pretty wide-open interface. While ptrace itself  
has well-defined semantics, I could hardly consider using it in  
production, nor would I want to be the one to write the userspace apps  
to do the syscall futzing for each of Linux's architectures.

-- Dave

---

Containers mailing list  
[Containers@lists.osdl.org](mailto:Containers@lists.osdl.org)  
<https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers>

---