Subject: Re: semantics for namespace naming
Posted by Dave Hansen on Fri, 15 Dec 2006 17:08:55 GMT

On Thu, 2006-12-14 at 14:56 -0700, Eric W. Biederman wrote:
> Because that model fundamentally keeps every process in it's own
> container and never allows it to leave, nor does it allow things
> from one container to cross into another container in an uncontrolled
> fashion this feels to me like a very safe model.

This is like saying that brain surgery is safe and controlled because
the surgeon never actually goes into the patient's brain! :)

I think of ptrace as a pretty wide-open interface. While ptrace itself
has well-defined semantics, I could hardly consider using it in
production, nor would I want to be the one to write the userspace apps
to do the syscall futzing for each of Linux's architectures.

-- Dave

_____
Containers mailing list
Containers@lists.osdl.org
https://lists.osdl.org/mailman/listinfo/containers